CONSUMER BRAND – SINO US TRADE DEAL PHASE ONE

March 2020



LEVEL 15, THREE PACIFIC PLACE, 1 QUEEN'S ROAD EAST, HONG KONG



2

TABLE OF CONTENTS



Consumer brand ETF: Implication of import quotas on the agricultural industry, from the China-US trade deal

> LEVEL 15, THREE PACIFIC PLACE, 1 QUEEN'S ROAD EAST, HONG KONG



CONSUMER BRAND ETF: Implication of import quotas on the agricultural industry, from the China-US trade deal

The Agreement So Far


Agriculture lies at the very heart of the Phase One Economic and Trade Agreement between the USA and China. The entirety of chapter 3 and a quarter of chapter 6 of the formal phase 1 agreement was solely devoted to agriculture related issues, representing 29 (page 3-1 to 3-21, 6-3, and 6-15 to 6-22) out of 94 pages of the written agreement. Chapter 3 dealt with principals of the bilateral trading relations between US and China vis-à-vis food and agriculture regulations and set out exceptions to the planned increase of Chinese purchases of US agricultural products. The policy commitments contained in this chapter is not drastically different from the resulting agreements between China and the WTO. On the other hand, chapter 6, which specified the amount of purchases China has promised to make, is much more substantive. According to chapter 6, China would, in the next 2 years, make an aggregate USD 32bn (12.5bn in 2020, 19.5 in 2021) worth of additional purchase on top of the 2017 baseline in oilseeds, meat, cereals, cotton, seafood, and others categories. However, the released version of chapter 6 does not shed any light on exactly how much of the USD 32bn of additional purchase would fall in each category. In context, China purchased USD 23.8bn of agricultural produce from the US, and this two-year commitment represents a 34.5% increase. This gives rise to much skepticism from international trade experts' of whether China could really fulfill such a large increase in purchases in the next two years. For the rest of this note, we shall assume China faithfully executes the terms of this phase 1 deal.



Beyond Ordinary ETFs[™]

2





US AGRICULTURAL EXPORTS TO CHINA (USD'BN)

Source: United States Department of Agriculture, Minnesota Department of Agriculture Website 2017

LEVEL 15, THREE PACIFIC PLACE, 1 QUEEN'S ROAD EAST, HONG KONG

EXPECTED AGRICULTURE PURCHASE BREAKDOWN

Due to the drastically varying sizes of China's agricultural sub-industries, the USD 32bn additional purchase commitment may be seen as a necessary act. Although the formal agreement and details of the breakdown has been kept tightly under wrap, the office of the US Trade Representatives (USTR) has published its projections of incremental purchases for key agricultural segments:

PORK

USTR expects pork import to increase from USD 700mn to USD 1.7bn in 2 to 3 years' time, which implies a 143% increase. While this is a huge increase, it is unlikely to have any real impact on the domestic pork market. China accounts for 50% of the world's pork consumption and has the largest pork market by any measure. In 2017, China produced and consumed 53.4 million tons (mnt) and 54.8mnt of pork respectively, with the gap being plugged by pork imports. Among China's 1.4mnt of net pork imports in 2017, US only accounted for less than 6% of volume share, which was much less than EU's 54% volume share. US' 6% volume share of China's pork import is also much lower than its approximately (c.) 30% volume share of global import. Therefore, even if China's pork import from US were to increase 143% on the 2017 base, one of two outcomes could come to pass at PRC government's discretion:

- Should the Chinese government intend to keep its overall net pork import volume constant, it could easily
 increase US' volume share at the expense of other exporting countries. Hence this is nothing more than a
 slight convergence to US' volume share of global pork import.
- Given the rapidly rising pork price due to ASF and COVID-19, it is in China's interest to increase the overall net pork import volume. In this case, China could add the 0.12mnt incremental volume (0.08mnt to 0.2mnt) imported from US, part of the increase in overall net pork import. Nevertheless, the increment is equivalent to 8.6% and mere 0.2% of 2017 net pork import and total pork consumption respectively.

In either case, the incremental pork purchase from US would not have material impact on China's domestic pork industry.

OTHER SEGMENTS

Perhaps as a result of China's overwhelming pork demand, it is the only agricultural segment for which USTR made concrete projections. However, rough estimates for other segments paint a very similar picture of negligible impact to China's respective domestic agricultural sub-industry.

For example, less than 0.1% of China's 1.2mnt beef import was purchased from the US, and USTR expects exports to China to reach USD1bn in 3 years' time; after harmonization of import/export regulatory standard (i.e. Codex standards vs. average MRL in other countries). Even if it is assumed that US beef's Price Cost Insurance and Freight (PCIF) reach parity with the domestic rate in 2018, USD1bn implies 0.1mnt. Yet 0.1mnt would only raise US' volume share of China beef import to 9%, still lower than US' global volume share of 13%. Furthermore, this is only equivalent to 1.3% of China's beef consumption volume.

Beyond Ordinary ETFs[™]

Disclaimer

This document contains the opinions of Mirae Asset Global Investments (HK) Limited ("MAGIHK") and is intended for your use only. It is not a solicitation, offer or recommendation to buy or sell any security or other financial instrument and shall not constitute any form of regulated financial advice, legal, tax or other regulated service. Information contained herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but is not guaranteed. MAGIHK makes no representation as to their accuracy or completeness and therefore do not accept any liability for a loss arising from the use of this document.

All Investments contain risks. Forecasts, past information and estimates have certain inherent limitations. Statements concerning financial market trends or portfolio strategies are based on current market conditions, which will fluctuate. There is no guarantee that these opinions are suitable for all investors and each investor should evaluate their ability to invest for the long term, especially during periods of downturn in the market. Outlook and strategies are subject to change without notice.

Past performance is not a guarantee or a reliable indicator of future results. Before making any investment decision, investors should read the applicable fund prospectus for details and the risk factors. Investors should ensure they fully understand the risks associated with the applicable investment and should also consider their own investment objective and risk tolerance level. Investors are advised to seek independent professional advice if in doubt.

This document is issued by MAGIHK (Licensed by the Securities and Futures Commission for Types 1, 4 and 9 regulated activities under the Securities and Futures Ordinance). This document has not been reviewed by the Securities and Futures Commission and no part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, or referred to in any other publication, without express written permission of MAGI HK. **Copyright 2020.** All rights reserved.

COM-2020-03-19-HK-R-MKT-ETFWEBSITE

LEVEL 15, THREE PACIFIC PLACE, 1 QUEEN'S ROAD EAST, HONG KONG







600 LEXINGTON AVE 20TH FL NEW YORK, NY 10022 1 (888) 493-8631 GLOBALXETFS.COM @GLOBALXETFS